

W. M. Amer, M. M. Soliman & M. M. Sheded

Biosystematic studies for *Balanites aegyptiaca* (Balanitaceae) populations in Egypt

Abstract

Amer, W. M., Soliman, M. M. & Sheded, M. M.: Biosystematic studies for *Balanites aegyptiaca* (Balanitaceae) populations in Egypt. — Fl. Medit. 12: 353-367. 2002. — ISSN 1120-4052.

The study of *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations in Egypt is carried out to verify the taxonomic rank of these populations. This study was based on the morphological, soil physico-chemical characters and eighteen straight chain hydrocarbons in addition to RAPD markers. The study revealed that all the *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del. populations are belonging to *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del. var. *aegyptiaca*. This variety is represented in Egypt by three ecotypes namely: Nile valley type, Sahelian type and Xerotype.

Introduction

Balanites aegyptiaca (L.) Del. belongs to the monogeneric family *Balanitaceae*. The genus includes nine species, seven of which occur in Africa.

Balanites aegyptiaca is distributed from Senegal, Somalia, Ethiopia and Eritrea and extends south to Zimbabwe. And to the north from Egypt to the Jordan valley in Palestine and to western Arabian peninsular (Sands 1983 & 1989). In Egypt, the Nubian desert is the northern limit for the species (Sands, 1989, Springuel, 1997 and Boulos, 2000).

The taxonomic group of the genus *Balanites* has long been a source of disagreement. Benthams & Hooker (1862) include *Balanites* in the family Simaroubaceae. Engler (1896 Appendix; 1931) grouped it under Zygophyllaceae, as a subfamily. After that, Cronquist (1968) returned it to Simaroubaceae. Hegnauer (1973), cleared that *Balanites* is not chemically similar to the Simaroubaceae because it lacks the quassia-like alkaloids typical of that family. Scholz (1964), Hegnauer (1973) and Cronquist (1981) included *Balanites* in the Zygophyllaceae. The similarities between flavonoids of *Balanites* and Zygophyllaceae; Maksoud & El-Hadidi (1988) did not support treatment of *Balanites* as a distinct family; and this the same in opinion of Narayana, *et al* (1990). Sands (1989) treated *Balanites* in a separate monogeneric family Balanitaceae. This treatment is supported by Sheadan & Culter (1993), through the study of the anatomy of 37 species in 19 genera belongs to Zygophyllaceae *sensu lato* and the result of C₄ activity in 27 species; the results revealed

the exclusion of *Balanites* into a separate family. Recently, Boulos (2000) treated *Balanites* within Zygophyllaceae.

According to Sands (1983), a study of the genus is currently being completed and a full revision of the genus will be published. Sands (pers. Comm., in Maksoud & El-Hadidi, 1988), he recognizes five varieties of *Balanites aegyptiaca* with vary in respect to the color of the leaflet, pubescence, length of spines, the number of flowers per corymb as well as the size and shape of the fruit. However, Sands (1989) treated *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del. as two varieties: var. *pallida* Sands with dense tomentellous leaflets and inflorescence always at spinless nodes with 5(-7) flowers; the type specimen was from Somalia. And the var. *aegyptiaca* with variable leaf and spine development, leaflets usually with sparse indumentum (or early glabrescent), inflorescences often at spinous nodes; type specimens was from Egypt.

For comparative studies in plant collections, a complementary approach using RAPDs may be more appropriate for generating accurate estimates of genetic diversity and relationships than either method used alone, Chan & Sun (1997). RAPD analysis enables the detection of information genetic marker at a large number of loci in both coding and non-coding regions of the genome (Willalms *et al* 1990).

This study aimed to verify the taxonomic rank of the *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations representing all the Egyptian localities. Based on morphological, ecological, chemical and Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) data.

Material and methods

Plant material

Eight *Balanites aegyptiaca* samples were collected from different Egyptian populations of the species (Figure 1). The habitat features for the eight sites are summarized as follows and arranged as the populations number.

- 1- Nile bank, Aswan city, Upper Egypt.
- 2- Ecotone sector of Wadi Alaqi at the entrance of the Wadi, eastern desert, Upper Egypt.
- 3- Down-stream of Wadi Alaqi, eastern desert, Upper Egypt.
- 4- Upper-stream of Wadi Alaqi, eastern desert, at the Sudano-Egyptian border.
- 5- Paris Oasis, western desert.
- 6- Halaib, Red Sea mountain escarpment, southern corner of Nubian desert at Sudano-Egyptian border.
- 7- Hamata mountain, Red Sea mountain escarpment, eastern desert
- 8- Wadi Abu Shubeira, ecotone part, 13 km north of Aswan city, eastern desert.

Soil analysis

Soil mechanical analysis was carried out according to Folk (1968). Soil texture analysis was carried out by Bouyucos Hydrometer method according to Allen & Stainer (1974). Soil reaction (pH) measured for soil extracts Potentiometrically. Soil anions: bicarbonate ion concentration measured by titration, chloride ion concentration measured by Argentometry (Lukács & Rédly, 1988). Soil cations: calcium and magnesium were measured according to Boham, *et al.* (1979). Electric conductivity (EC) measured by a Direct Conductivity Bridge ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}$).




Fig. 1. Distribution of the studied *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations.

Vegetation

The associate plant species in the eight studied localities were collected in a circle of 50 m radius around the target *Balanites* population. The collected species were identified in Cairo University Herbarium (CAI) and South Valley University Herbarium according to Täckholm (1974), Hassan (1987); Boulos (1995, 1999 & 2000).

Extraction of hydrocarbons

Lipid material was extracted according to Amer (1999); from two grams of the powdered seeds for each sample. The non-saponified fraction of the lipid material was injected to Gas Chromatography (GC) according to (Rady, *et al* 1987 & Amer, 1999). Gas chro-

matography was performed using Perkin Elmer Sigma 2B GC equipped with SP 4270 integrator. The column was coiled glass (1.5 m x 0.4 mm) packed with OV-101. Oven temperature was isothermal at 250 °C, while detector and injector temperatures were 300 °C and 220 °C; respectively. Gas flow rates were 80 ml/min for nitrogen, 60 ml/min for hydrogen and 220 ml/min for air. The developed peaks were standardized against slandered series of hydrocarbons.

Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD)

DNA was isolated from fresh leaves according to Sambrook *et al.* (1989). PCR was conducted using five arbitrary 10-mer primers with the following sequences: OPA01 (CAG GCC DTT C), OPA-02 (TGC CGA GCT G), OPB-04 (GGA CTG GAG T), OPB14 (TCC GCT CTG G) and OPB16 (TTT GCC CGG A). Marker of 100bp DNA ladder was also used. The reaction conditions were optimized, the reaction mixture (50 µL total volume) was consisted of : dNTPs (0.2 mM) , MgCl₂ (1.5 Mm), 1 X buffer primer (0.2 M), Template DNA (100 ng) and Taq polymerase (2 units). Amplification was carried out in Coy Thermocycler according to Vierling (1992). Amplification products were analysed by electrophoresis in 1.4 % agarose gels and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

Statistical analysis

Multivariate analysis for the hydrocarbon (as percentages) and RAPD data were carried out using Hierarchical clustering analysis(Manhattan distance). RAPD amplification products were scored as: presence or absence of the amplification products. If a product was present in other genotype it was designated 2, if not shared in another genotype it was designated 1, and 0 if absent. This type of scoring was done for each amplification product. The genetic diversity was based on both shared and unique polymorphic products, which have been used to construct the dendrogram. Statistica Programme for Windows Release 4.5, copyright by StatSoft, Inc. (1993) was used.

Results

Morphological description

The collected eight specimens representing the eight studied populations are characterized by: tree form up to 8 m high. Stems with axillary naked spines, up to 8 cm long. Foliage leaves alternate, 2-foliate, minutely puberulous or glabrescent. Leaflets elliptic to broadly ovate or obovate, apex bluntly acute to obtuse or rounded and cuneate base. Flowers 5-merous, 5-12 arranged in loose corymb-like cymose often at spinous nodes. Sepals tomentelous outside and around the midrib vein of the inner side. Petals narrowly obovate-elliptic, yellow-or blue green 4.5-6 x 1-2 mm. Stamens 10; ovary mostly tomentose, to 1 mm high; style glabrous 1-2 mm long. Fruit drupe, elongated in early stages, ovoid to ellipsoidal sometimes sub-rounded on ripening, (2.3-)2.9-4(-4.5) x (1.3-)1.7-2.2 (-2.5) cm.

According to Sands (1989), the above descriptions for the eight collected specimens are belonging to *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del. var. *aegyptiaca*. However, the studied populations hold minor morphological diversity linked to the habitat of its origin and supported by the herbarium samples deposited in Cairo University Herbarium (CAI), the differences are summarized as follows (Table 1).

Soil

Table 2 outlined the physico-chemical characters of the soil samples collected from the eight habitats associated with the studied *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations. The results cleared that *Balanites aegyptiaca* can grow in a pH range from nearly neutral to slightly alkaline soil (6.97-8.96). Soil salinity ranges from non-saline (EC=106 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$) to slightly saline (EC=1330 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$). Most of the samples appeared to be grown in sandy soil with 42.3 %-90.4 % sand.

Vegetation

Table 3 outlined that a total of 127 species were traced as associated species to the studied nine *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations. The associated species are mostly xerophytic especially in case of populations 2-8. Among of these species are: *Acacia tortilis* subsp. *raddiana*, *Aerva javanica*, *Citrullus colocynthis* and *Panicum turgidum*. Populations 4,6 & 7 are grown in a dense vegetation localities while populations 1 & 8 are grown in a poor-er localities. Population 1 is characterized by the presence of mesophytic species among of them: *Cynodon dactylon*, *Medicago sativa*, *Oxalis corniculata* and *Echinochloa colona* in addition to the xerophytic species.

The Life form analysis (Hassib, 1951), of the associated species to *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations (Table 3), revealed the dominance of Chamaephytes in populations 1, 2, 3, 4 & 8 by percentages 16.36%, 26.66%, 55.55%, 29.61% and 45.45%, respectively. While Phanerophytes were the dominant life form in populations 5 and 7 by percentages 41.17% and 36.36%, respectively. On the other hand Therophytes was the dominant Life form in case of population 6, and represented by 43.47%, from the total species.

Table 1. Morphological diversity within the studied populations.

Character	Population number & ecotype		
	Nile valley type 1 & 2	Xerotype 3, 4 & 5	Sahelian type 6, 7 & 8
Leaflet texture	Thin, papery & glabrescent	Leathery & minutely puberulous	Leathery & minutely puberulous
Leaflet length	3.5 (-4.5) cm	1.5 (-2.3) cm	3.0 (-4.7) cm
Leaflet width	1.8 (-2.4) cm	0.7 (1.5) cm	1.7 (-2.5) cm
Leaflet apex	Broad acute	Acute	Broad acute
Leaflet shape	Elliptical	Elliptical	Elliptical
Petiole length	0.5 (-1.5) cm	0.6 (-1.2) cm	0.8 (-1.2) cm
Petiolule length (mm)	2.5	1.7-2.0	(0.5-) 2.2
Spine length	1-5 (-8) cm	2.2 (-3.5) cm	0.6-6.0 cm
Floweral node	Spineless	Spiny	Spiny
Number of flowers/corymb	(7-10) -12	7-10	7 (-8)
Pedicel length	8 (-12) mm	4.2 (-8) mm	6-8 mm
Fruit size	3.8 (-4.3) x 2.0 (-2.5) cm	2.5 (-3.0) x 1.8 (-2.2) cm	3.2 (-4.5) x 2.2 (-2.3) cm
Fruit ridges	Five moderate ridges	Unclear ridges, less hairy	Clear five ridges, less hairy
Fruit shape	Elliptical-ovoid	Sub-spherical-elliptical	Elliptical

Table 2. Physico-chemical character of the soil samples collected from the eight habitats associated to the studied *Balanites aegyptiaca* population.

Population number	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	pH	EC $\mu mhos/cm$	Cl mg/100 gm	HCO ₃ mg/100 gm	Ca mg/100 gm	Mg mg/100 gm
1	28.7	46.6	23.93	6.0	451	1.83	0.85	22.3	22.0
2	42.3	33.7	24.53	97.0	438	23.00	67.00	14.0	0.0
3	34.1	28.6	27.78	8.15	422	16.00	11.60	8.0	1.6
4	88.3	1.0	10.50	7.78	106	4.26	12.06	5.8	1.4
5	80.5	2.8	14.36	7.56	3690	101.60	17.94	488.2	214.5
6	90.4	0.3	9.00	8.13	140	4.02	11.70	5.6	1.1
7	82.3	5.1	12.13	7.74	1330	11.00	29.00	7.0	5.0
8	80.1	2.5	15.60	8.16	1474	12.17	25.07	8.1	4.8

Hydrocarbons

Eighteen aliphatic straight chain hydrocarbons were identified using GC. Table (4) outlines the percentages of hydrocarbons measured as a percent to the total identified hydrocarbons. The data revealed that n-decane is the dominant hydrocarbon in the studied samples; its percentages ranges from 34.80 -76.9. N-pentadecane is the co-dominant hydrocarbon with percentages range from 10.69 -21.24. Notable similarity are noticed between populations 1 & 2 and between populations 3,4,& 5 as well as between populations 6,7 & 8.

RAPD

The amplification products of the genomic DNA isolated from the eight *B. aegyptiaca* populations using five primers (OPA01, OPA02; OPB04, OPB14 and OPB16) revealed that: a total of 41 clear polymorphic bands (Fig. 2). Three major bands are shared in all the studied populations: OPA01 at 250 kbp, OPA02 at 385 kbp and OPB16 at 242 kbp.

Statistics

The constructed dendrogram (Fig. 3) based on the amplification products of the five RAPD primers and the eighteen hydrocarbons as percentages revealed that: the 8-populations are grouped into two major clusters. One cluster includes populations 6,7 & 8 and populations from 1-5 in the other cluster. The later cluster includes smaller forks one constructed from populations 1 & 2, and the other constructed from populations 3 & 4.

Discussion

Plant growth is influenced by two major groups of factors: genetic and environmental. The latter is mainly related to soil and air characteristics. The most effective environmental factors is the soil water. The water deficit (?drought) is the most limiting factor in the




Fig. 2. DNA_RAPD pattern of the studied *Balanities aegyptiaca* populations using different primers: OPA1, OPA2, OPB4, OPB14 and OPB16. (Marker Molecular weight = 100bp ladder).




Fig. 3. Dendrogram of the eight *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations based on the percentages of hydrocarbons and RAPD data.

Egyptian desert. The mechanism involved in drought-tolerance is a complex process requiring physiological, genetic and morphological adaptations (Belhassen & Monneveux 1995). Plants show different responses to drought according to its genetic variability.

According to Sands (1989), the eight studied *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations are belonging to *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del. var. *aegyptiaca*. The study of morphological variations (Table 1) of these populations revealed that these populations are grouped phenotypic into three ecotypes:

1. Nile valley type: represented by populations 1 & 2 which are mainly grown in Nile bank, ecotone sectors of the adjacent wadis and localities with sufficient water supply. This type is characterized by large, thin papery leaf (3.5 x 1.8 cm) with broad acute apex and elliptical-ovoid capsule.
2. Xerotype: represented by populations 3, 4 & 5, this type is grown in the water deficit soil, such as oases and dried parts of wadi Alaqui. This type is characterized by small, leathery leaf (1.5 x 0.7 cm), with acute apex and subspherical-elliptical fruit.
3. Sahelian type: represented by populations 6, 7 & 8. This type is common in Red Sea wadis. It is characterized by large, leathery leaf (3.0 x 1.7 cm), with broad acute apex and elliptical fruit.

The morphological variations in the studied *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del. var. *aegyptiaca* is supported with Sand's opinion (Sands 1989), who claimed that var. *aegyptiaca* is very variable, particularly in the form of the leaf and spine development.

Similar data was obtained by Amer & Sheded (1998) and El-Naggar & Soliman (1999) for *Senna* and *Schouwia* species, respectively; these studies claimed that a notable phenotypic variations and minor genotypic variations were noticed between ecotypes of *Senna* and *Schouwia* species in Egypt.

Table 3. Floristic list and Life form of the associated species with *B. aegyptiaca* populations in different localities (+ Species present).

Associate species	Population number								Life form
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
<i>Abutilon fruticosum</i> Guill.& Perr.						+			Ch
<i>Abutilon pannosum</i> (G. Forst.) Schlidl.				+			+		Ch
<i>Acacia ehrenbergiana</i> Hayne		+		+				+	Ph
<i>Acacia millifera</i> (Vaha) Benth.						+			Ph
<i>Acacia nilotica</i> (L.) Delile					+				Ph
<i>Acacia tortilis</i> (Forssk.) Hayne									Ph
subsp. <i>Raddiana</i> (Savi) Brenan		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
<i>Acacia tortilis</i> (Forssk.) Hayne subsp. <i>tortilis</i>				+		+	+		Ph
<i>Aerva javanica</i> (Burm.f.) Juss. ex Schult.		+		+		+	+	+	Ch
<i>Aizoon canariense</i> L.				+		+			Th
<i>Alhagi graecorum</i> Boiss.			+		+				Ch
<i>Amaranthus graecizans</i> L.						+			Th
<i>Aristida adscensionis</i> L.						+			Th
<i>Aristida funiculata</i> Trin. & Rupr.						+			Th
<i>Aristida mutabilis</i> Trin. & Rupr.				+		+			Th
<i>Arnebia hispidissima</i> (Leham.) DC.				+		+			Th
<i>Asphodelus tenuifolius</i> Cav.				+		+			Th
<i>Astragalus vogelii</i> (Webb) Bornm.		+							Th
<i>Blepharis ciliaris</i> (L.) B. L. Butt						+			H
<i>Calotropis procera</i> (Aiton) W. T. Aiton	+	+		+	+		+		Ph
<i>Capparis deciduas</i> (Forssk.) Edgew.				+			+		Ph
<i>Caylusea hexagyna</i> (Forssk.) M. L. Green				+		+			Th
<i>Centropodia forsskaolii</i> (Vahl) Cope						+			G
<i>Chenopodium murale</i> L.						+			Th
<i>Chrozophora oblongifolia</i> (Delile) Spreng.		+				+	+		Ch
<i>Citrullus colocynthis</i> (L.) Schard.				+		+	+	+	H
<i>Cleome amblyocarpa</i> Barratte & Murb.				+					Th
<i>Cleome chrysantha</i> Decne.						+			Ch
<i>Cleome droserifolia</i> (Forssk.) Delile				+			+		H
<i>Coelachyrum brevifolium</i> Hochst. & Nees						+			Th
<i>Commicarpus helenae</i> (Schult.) Meikle						+			H
<i>Convolvulus hystrix</i> Vahl				+		+			Ch
<i>Crotolaria microphylla</i> Vah						+			Th
<i>Cocculus pendulus</i> (J. R. & G. Forst.) Diels	+			+		+			Ph

Table 3. (continued).

Associate species	Population number								Life form
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
<i>Corchorus olitorius</i> L.	+								Th
<i>Cornulaca monacantha</i> Delile			+	+	+		+		Ch
<i>Cotula cinerea</i> Delile				+					Th
<i>Cucumis prophetarum</i> L.						+	+		H
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	+	+							G
<i>Cyperus conglomeratus</i> Rottb.							+		G
<i>Dactyloctenium scindicum</i> Boiss.						+			H
<i>Dichanthium foveolatum</i> (Delile) Roberty							+		H
<i>Echinops spinosus</i> L.						+			Ch
<i>Echinochloa colona</i> (L.) Link	+								Th
<i>Euphorbia cuneata</i> Vahl						+			S
<i>Euphorbia granulata</i> Forssk.				+		+			Th
<i>Eragrostis cilianensis</i> (All.) F. T. Hubb.						+			Th
<i>Euphorbia indica</i> Lam.	+								Th
<i>Fagonia arabica</i> L.					+				Ch
<i>Fagonia bruguieri</i> DC.							+		H
<i>Fagonia glutinosa</i> Delile							+		H
<i>Fagonia indica</i> Burm.f.		+	+	+	+			+	Ch
<i>Fagonia tristis</i> Sickenb.							+		Ch
<i>Farsetia aegyptia</i> Turra							+		Ch
<i>Farsetia longisiliqua</i> Decne.				+	+	+	+		Ch
<i>Farsetia stylosa</i> L.				+					Ch
<i>Forsskaolea tenacissima</i> L.				+		+	+		H
<i>Gisekia pharnaceoides</i> L.						+			Th
<i>Filago desertorum</i> Pomel					+	+	+		Th
<i>Glinus lotoides</i> L.			+						Th
<i>Haplophyllum tuberculatum</i> (Forssk.) Juss.			+						Ch
<i>Heliotropium bacciferum</i> Forssk.				+			+		Ch
<i>Heliotropium pterocarpum</i> (DC.) Hochst. & Steud. ex Bunge						+			Th
<i>Heliotropium subulatum</i> (A. DC.) Vatke						+			H
<i>Hippocrepis constricta</i> Kunze						+			Th
<i>Hyoscyamus muticus</i> L.		+							H
<i>Hyparrhenia hirta</i> (L.) Stapf				+					H

Table 3. (continued).

Associate species	Population number								Life form
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
<i>Hyphaene thebaica</i> (L.) Mart.					+				Ph
<i>Indigofera spinosa</i> Forssk.						+			Ch
<i>Ifloga spicata</i> (Forssk.) Sch. Bip.						+			Th
<i>Iphiona scabra</i> DC.						+			Ch
<i>Kickxia spartioides</i> (Brouss. ex Buch.) Janch.						+			Ch
<i>Launaea cassiniana</i> (Boiss.) Kuntze				+					H
<i>Launaea capitata</i> (Spreng.) Dandy				+		+			Th
<i>Launaea tenuiloba</i> (Boiss.) Kuntze						+			Th
<i>Leptadenia pyrotechnica</i> (Forssk.) Decne.				+		+	+		Ph
<i>Lotononis platycarpa</i> (Viv.) Pic. Serm.	+	+		+			+		Th
<i>Lotus desert</i> Täckh. & Boulos							+		H
<i>Lupinus digitatus</i> Forssk.				+					Th
<i>Lycium shawii</i> Roem. & Schult.						+	+		Ph
<i>Maerua crassifolia</i> Forssk.				+	+	+			Ph
<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.	+	+							H
<i>Monsonia nivea</i> (Decne.) Webb				+		+	+		H
<i>Morettia philaeana</i> (Delile) DC.				+					H
<i>Neurada procumbens</i> L.						+			Th
<i>Ochradenus baccatus</i> Delile				+		+	+		Ph
<i>Oxalis corniculata</i> L.	+	+							G
<i>Panicum turgidum</i> Forssk.				+	+	+	+	+	G
<i>Parietaria alsinifolia</i> Delile						+			Th
<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> L.					+				Ph
<i>Peristrophe paniculata</i> (Forssk.) Brumitt						+			Th
<i>Pergularia tomentosa</i> L.				+			+		Ch
<i>Persicaria senegalensis</i> (Meisn.) Soják	+								Hy+ He
<i>Phragmites australis</i> (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud.			+						Hy+ He
<i>Plantago afra</i> L.						+			Th
<i>Plantago major</i> L.	+								H
<i>Polycarpaea repens</i> (Forssk.) Asch. & Schweinf.						+	+		H
<i>Polycarpaea robbairea</i> (Kuntze) Greuter & Burdet						+	+		H
<i>Prosopis farcta</i> (Banks & Sol.) Macbr.					+				Ph

Table 3. (continued).

Associate species	Population number								Life form
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
<i>Pulicaria crispa</i> (Forssk.) Oliv.			+	+			+		Ch
<i>Pulicaria incisa</i> (Lam.) DC.		+		+			+	+	H
<i>Ricinus communis</i> L.				+					Ch
<i>Salsola imbricata</i> Forssk.								+	Ch
<i>Salsola schweinfurthii</i> Solms						+			Ch
<i>Salvadora persica</i> Forssk.				+			+		Ph
<i>Salvia aegyptiaca</i> L.						+			Ch
<i>Schouwia thebaica</i> Webb								+	Th
<i>Senna alexandrina</i> Mill.		+		+			+		Ch
<i>Senna italica</i> Mill.						+	+	+	Ch
<i>Silene linearis</i> Decne.						+			Th
<i>Solanum incanum</i> L.						+			Ch
<i>Solenostemma argel</i> (Delile) Hayne				+			+		Ph
<i>Stipagrostis hirtigluma</i> (Steud. ex Trin. & Rupr.) de Winter						+			Th
<i>Stipagrostis raddiana</i> (Savi) de Winter						+	+		H
<i>Tamarix aphylla</i> (L.) H. Karst.					+		+		Ph
<i>Tamarix nilotica</i> (Ehrenb.) Bunge			+				+		Ph
<i>Tephrosia purpurea</i> (L.) Pers.						+	+		Ch
<i>Tragus berteronianus</i> Schult.						+			Th
<i>Tribulus pentandrus</i> Forssk.		+		+					Th
<i>Tribulus terrestris</i> L.				+		+			Th
<i>Trichodesma africanum</i> (L.) R. Br.				+		+	+		Ch
<i>Triraphis pumilio</i> R. Br.						+			Th
<i>Withania somnifera</i> (L.) Dunal	+								Ch
<i>Ziziphus spina-christi</i> (L.) Desf.				+		+			Ph
<i>Zilla spinosa</i> (L.) Prantl				+			+	+	Ch
<i>Zygophyllum coccineum</i> L.							+		Ch
<i>Zygophyllum simplex</i> L.				+		+			Ch

Life form

Ch = Chamaephytes

H = Hemicryptophytes

Hy & He = Hydrophytes and Helophytes

G = Geophytes

Ph = Phanerophytes

S = Succulent

Th = Therophytes

Table (4). Hydrocarbons of *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations as percentages, identified by GC.

Hydrocarbon	R _i min	Population number							
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
n-Heptane	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.55	1.12	0.0	0.59	1.11	0.0
n-Octane	1.89	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
n-Nonane	2.31	0.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.54	1.83	0.0
n-Decane	4.03	73.8	76.91	64.96	68.3	60.56	39.55	34.4	31.8
n-Undecane	6.07	0.39	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.89	0.0	0.12	0.0
n-Dodecane	8.6	0.43	0.15	0.0	0.0	0.82	0.0	0.0	0.0
n-Tridecane	11.28	0.29	0.21	0.22	0.0	0.85	0.0	0.0	0.0
n-Tetradecane	13.97	0.29	0.08	0.01	0.06	0.92	0.0	0.0	0.09
n-Pentadecane	17.1	10.69	9.4	13.89	16.54	12.63	19.97	18.89	24.24
n-Hexadecane	18.56	0.43	0.07	0.1	0.08	1.48	0.0	0.14	0.08
n-Heptadecane	20.15	4.72	3.92	5.39	6.8	6.86	8.07	7.9	9.92
n-Octadecane	21.59	0.214	0.17	0.05	2.18	1.31	2.43	2.35	0.13
n-Nonadecane	22.36	1.4	1.09	1.5	0.11	2.05	0.12	0.17	2.73
n-Eicosane	24.18	0.59	0.49	0.64	0.89	0.89	0.9	0.95	1.14
n-Heneicosane	24.97	0.1	0.06	0.13	0.6	0.12	0.69	0.61	0.06
n-Docodane	25.8	0.4	0.36	0.45	0.11	0.73	0.07	0.08	0.84
n-Tricosane	27.47	0.49	0.31	0.46	0.66	0.6	0.63	0.69	0.83
n-Tetracosane	29.63	0.89	0.98	0.99	1.4	1.0	1.36	1.28	2.23

The variations of the soil physico-chemical characters of the studied populations (Table 2) reflect that, the most effective soil character in the ecotype distribution is the water deficit. A similar observation was noticed in *Senna* ecotypes (Amer & Sheded 1998).

The associate species to the Nile valley type (population 1 & 2) such as *Medicago sativa* and *Oxalis corniculata* are indicate the presence of sufficient water supply in these localities. Population 8 is located a part from the Red Sea coast, but the soil character is very similar to that of population 7 belong to Sahelian type. *B. aegyptiaca* Xerotype (populations 3,4 & 5) is grown in a water deficit soil this is obvious through the typical xerophytic associate species such as: *Acacia raddiana* subsp. *raddiana*, *Farsetia longisiliqua*, *Cornulaca monacantha* and *Fagonia indica*.

Life form analysis of the 126 associate species with *B. aegyptiaca* populations (Table 3), revealed that: Chamaephytes were the dominant life form in five from the studied eight populations. This result reflect the strong genetic affinity between the studied populations. However, morphological, chemical and DNA diversity grouped them under three ecotypes (Nile Valley type, Xerotype and Sahelian type). On the other hand, habitat diversity is strongly reflected its impression on the associate species in case of Sahelian ecotype (Populations 6, 7 & 8). Population 8 was associated by 43.47% Therophytes while popu-

lation 7 associated by low Therophytes and 36.36% Phanerophytes. The result support the wide ecological amplitude of this type as well as its response to water stress.

The study of plant hydrocarbons is an effective chemotaxonomic tool in identification of the relict *Gossypium herbaceum* in Egypt (Amer, 1999). The study of *B. aegyptiaca* hydrocarbons revealed that n-decane is the dominant hydrocarbon in all the studied populations. This reflects the uniformity of genetic character. However, the variations of the non-dominant hydrocarbons reflect the ecotypic variations. Hydrocarbons (Table 4) grouped the studied populations into three ecotypes, similar to that of morphological characters.

The metabolic adaptations to water stress involving a change in gene expression requires an alteration in nucleic acid (Hanson & Hitz, 1982). The amplification of the five studied primers revealed that the presence of mono-morphic bands (OPA01 at 250 kbp, OPA02 at 385 kbp and OPB16 at 242 kbp). This uniformity reflect the origin of these populations from one variety. On the other hand, the presence of many polymorphic bands enable us to group the studied populations into three ecotypes mentioned earlier.

The dendrogram (Fig. 3), constructed based on the RAPD data and hydrocarbons percentages, grouped the studied populations into three groups. The Sahelian ecotype (Populations 6,7 &8) is genetically separated from the other two ecotypes. While the Nile valley type (populations 1 & 2) is closer to the xerotype (population 3, 4 & 5). The genetic variations between *Balanites aegyptiaca* populations were postulated by Radwan (2001), who outlined that the rate of root development and leaf size of oasis population increased with the salinity increase than the Alaqi population. He relate this variation to genotypic variations between the populations.

References

- Allen, M. M. & Stainer, S. T. 1974: Chemical analysis of ecological materials. — Oxford & London.
- Amer, W. M. 1999: Egyptian cotton relict *Gossypium herbaceum* L. in Egypt. — Bulletin of the Faculty of Science, Assiut University, **28(2)**: 161-172.
- Amer, W. M. & Sheded, M. 1998: Relationships within genus *Senna* in Egypt, based on variations in protein, free amino acid and RAPD markers. — Journal of Union of Arab Biologists, **6(B)**: 47-61.
- Belhassen, E., This, D. & Monneveux, P. 1995: Genetic adaptation to drought. — Cahiers Agriculture **4**: 251-261.
- Bentham, G. & Hooker, J. B. 1862: Genera Plantarum **1** — London.
- Bohan, H. L., McNeal, B. L. & O' Connor, G. A. 1979: Soil chemistry. — New York.
- Bolous, L. 1995: Flora of Egypt, Checklist. — Cairo.
- 1999: Flora of Egypt. vol. 1: Azollaceae - Oxalidaceae. — Cairo.
- 000: Flora of Egypt. Vol. 2: Geraniaceae - Boraginaceae. — Cairo.
- Chan, K. F. & Sun, M. 1997: Genetic diversity and relationships detected by isozyme and RAPD analysis of crop and wild species of *Amaranthus*. — Theoretical and Applied Genetics, **95**: 865-873.
- Cronquist, A. 1968: Evolution and classification of flowering plants. — London & Edinburgh.
- 1981: An integrated system of classification of flowering plants. — New York.
- El-Naggar, S. M. & Soliman, M. A. 1999: Biosystematic studies on *Schouwia* DC. (Brassicaceae) in Egypt. — Fl. Medit. **9**: 175-183.

- Engler, A. 1896: *Zygophyllaceae*. In Engler, A. & Prantl, K. (eds). Die natürliche Pflanzenfamilien, 1st edition, vol. 3 section 4. — Leipzig.
- Folk, R. L. 1968: Petrology of sedimentary rocks. — Austin.
- Hanson, A. & Hitz, W. D. 1982: Metabolic responses of mesophytes to plant water deficits. — *Annual Review of Plant Physiology*, **33**: 163-203.
- Hassan, L. M. 1987: Studies on the flora of Eastern Desert — Egypt. Ph. D. thesis, Cairo University.
- Hassib, M. 1951: Distribution of plant communities in Egypt. — *Bulletin of the Faculty of Science*, **29**: 161-257.
- Hegnauer, R. 1973: *Chemotaxonomie der Pflanzen*, **6**. Basel & Stuttgart.
- Lukács, A. & Rédly, M. 1988: Quantitative determination of sulphate ions in aqueous soil extracts by Iodometry. — Pp. 185-186 in Buzás, I. (ed). *Mezőgazasági Kiadó* — Budapest.
- Maksoud, S. A. & El-Hadidi, M. N. 1988: The flavonoids of *Balanites aegyptiaca* from Egypt. — *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **160**: 153-158.
- Narayana, L., Satyanarayana, P. & Radhakrishnaiah, H. 1990: Systematic position of *Balanitaceae*. — in: Bilgrami, K. & Dogra, J. (eds). *Phytochemistry and plant taxonomy*. — Delhi.
- Radwan, U. A. 2001: Plant-water-soil relationships of *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del. in desert environment. — Ph. D. Thesis. South Valley University.
- Rady, A. H., Mostafa, M. M. & Rahma, E. H. 1987: Effect of humid and dry heat applications on the quality of Soya Bean Oil and Cake residue. — *Egyptian Journal of Food Science* **15** (1): 37-50.
- Sands, M. 1983: Notes on *Balanites* from the Somal Republic and Ethiopia. — *Kew Bulletin*, **38**: 40-41.
- 1989: *Balanitaceae*. Vol. 3. — Pp. 433-436 in: Hedberg, I. & Edwards, S. (eds): *Flora of Ethiopia*. — Addis Abeba University and Sweden: Uppsala University.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. & Maniatis, T. 1989: *Molecular cloning a laboratory manual*. — New York.
- Scholz, H. 1964: *Zygophyllaceae*. — In Melchior, H. (ed). *A. Engler's Syllabus der Pflanzenfamilien*, 12th edition, vol. 2. — Berlin.
- Sheahan, M. C. & Cutler, D. F. 1993: Contribution of vegetation anatomy to the systematics of the *Zygophyllaceae* R.Br. — *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **113**: 227-262.
- Springuel, I. 1997: Vegetation, land use and conservation in the South Eastern Desert of Egypt. — Pp. 177-206 in: Barakat, H.N. & Hegazy, A.K. (eds). *Reviews in Ecology: Desert conservation and development*. — Cairo.
- Täckholm, V. 1974: *Student's Flora of Egypt*. Ed. 11. — Beirut.
- Vierling, R. A. & Nguyen, H. T. 1992: Use of RAPD markers to determine the genetic diversity of the diploid wheat genotypes. — *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **84**: 835-838.
- Williams, J. G. K., Kubelik, A. R., Livak, K. J., Rafalski, J. A. & Tingey, S. V., 1990: DNA polymorphisms amplified by arbitrary primers are useful as genetic markers. — *Nucleic Acids Research* **18**: 6531-6535.

Addresses of the authors:

Wafaa M. Amer, Botany Dept. Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza 12613, Egypt.

Mohamed M. Soliman, Botany Dept. Faculty of Science, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.

Mohamed M. Sheded, Botany Dept. Faculty of Science, South Valley University, Asswan Egypt.